# First Experimental investigations on Wheel-Walking for improving Triple-Bogie rover locomotion performances

M. Azkarate With the collaboration of ESA/TEC-MMA

Cesa astra 2015

### **Table of Contents**



- ToC Motivation & 1. Background 1. Motivation & Background 2. ExoTeR Rover WW implement. 3. The ExoTeR Rover: a Triple-Bogie locomotion platform Experimental 4. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario Wheel-Walking concept and implementation ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario Conclusions 5. 6. Future Work
- 3.
- 4. Test campaign: Experimental Results
  - ί. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario: Entrapment In Loose Soil
  - ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario: Gradeability
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario: Lander Egress iii.
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Future Work

**Cesa** astra European Space Agency

2.

# Some areas of Mars are difficult to traverse...



ToC

#### **OPPORTUNITY**





#### CURIOSITY





#### **Motivation &** 1. Background 2. ExoTeR Rover WW implement. 3. Experimental 4. i. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario Conclusions 5. 6. Future Work

#### SPIRIT





Cesa astra 2015 European Space Agency

M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 3

### **Russian planetary exploration rover** early concepts





M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 4

European Space Agency

# ExoTeR rover: a Triple-Bogie platform





Deployment actuators of the concept offer the possibility of performing **wheel-walking maneuvers**.

eesa astra 2015 European Space Agency

M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 5

# ExoTeR rover: a Triple-Bogie platform (cont.)



Lab rover prototype **ExoTeR** based on the triple-bogie design. Keeps the **kinematic properties** of ExoMars design (as of 2007).

Locomotion platform type	6 x 4 x 6
Size (L x W x H)	70 x 70 x 40 cm <sup>3</sup>
Wheel diameter	14 cm
Wheel width	9 cm
Mass	24.08 kg
Ground pressure*	6.25 kPa

Table – Rover general characteristics and dimensions



Figure - ExoTeR Rover, 2013

ToC Motivation & 1. Background 2. **ExoTeR Rover** WW implement. 3. 4. Experimental i. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario Conclusions 5. 6. Future Work

\* Ground pressure calculation based on Effective Ground Pressure (EGP) defined in "M Heverly et al. Traverse performance characterization for the mars science laboratory rover. *Journal of Field Robotics*, 30. 2013"

# Wheel Walking Concept and Implementation



ToC

#### Key concepts:

- Wheel-walking: synchronised actuation of driving and deployment motors.
- Implementation based on a complete kinematic motion model relating desired body velocities to joint motion commands.
- Define transformations for kinematic chains ("legs") from body centre to each contact point.
- □ Jacobian matrix maps Cartesian to joint and contact points rates.
- □ Joints commanded considering desired body rates and current state of all joints.
- Different combinations of actuation (by sets of constraints to the Jacobian) lead to different WW gaits.



#### Motivation & 1. Background 2. ExoTeR Rover 3. WW implement. Experimental 4. i. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario Conclusions 5. 6. Future Work

Gait name	# of active wheels	# of phases	Active wheel sequence	Sequence illustration (green $=$ active wheels)
Single wheel	1	6	$(1) \to (2) \to (3) \to (4) \to (5) \to (6)$	
Axle by axle	2	3	$(1,2) \to (3,4) \to (5,6)$	
Side by side	3	2	$(1,3,5) \to (2,4,6)$	
Tripod	3	2	$(1,4,5) \to (2,3,6)$	

M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 7

Table – Implemented wheel-walking gaits (motion patterns) explained



#### **OBJECTIVE**

Test the traversability performance that can be achieved when using wheel-walking locomotion mode compared to normal driving actuation.

#### **S**CENARIOS

- Entrapment In Loose Sand
- Gradeability
- Lander Egress

#### OUTPUT

Provide test results data in qualitative and quantitative form to help assess the usability case of wheel-walking for planetary exploration missions.





# **Entrapment in loose soil**



ToC

#### **OBJECTIVE**

Simulate trapped situation from which the rover should try to free itself. No numerical metric is measured in these tests.

<u>Setup</u>



#### Motivation & 1. Background 2. ExoTeR Rover WW implement. 3. Experimental 4. i. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario Conclusions 5.

6. Future Work

# Entrapment in loose soil (cont.)





M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 10 2015 European Space Agency

# Gradeability



#### OBJECTIVE

Test the WW **performance on slopes**, rover system gradeability increased? Metric is the **slip-ratio**. Accurately measured by Vicon motion tracking:

 $slip = 1 - \frac{real\ position\ tracked\ by\ cameras}{position\ estimated\ by\ wheel\ odometry}$ 

**Setup** 



#### ТоС

1.

2.

- Motivation & Background
- ExoTeR Rover
- WW implement.
  Experimental
  - i. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario
  - ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario
  - iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Future Work

# Gradeability (cont.)





2015 European Space Agency

# Gradeability (cont.)





# Lander Egress



ToC

1.

2.

3.

Motivation &

#### **OBJECTIVE**

Experiment on how the "walking" DoF can **assist lander egress** operations. The metric is to check the **dynamic stability** at different egress inclinations. SETUP



#### Background ExoTeR Rover WW implement. Experimental i. 1<sup>st</sup> Scenario ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> Scenario

- iii. 3<sup>rd</sup> Scenario
- 5. Conclusions
  - Future Work

# Lander Egress (cont.)



ToC

#### <u>Results</u>

The "walking" DoF improves dynamic stability in egress operations.

#### Egress Tests 29 degrees

Angle of the egress slope: 29 degrees Angle front walking motors: 34 degrees

Step height: 8cm





### Conclusions





- The wheel-walking locomotion mode outperformed standard rolling in all the tested scenarios.
- The results shown here demonstrate WW improves traction in loose soil and dynamic stability limit during egress maneuvers and quantify the gradeability increase obtained with respect to ND.
- Future rover exploration missions, specially in the case of systems with high EGP, could potentially benefit from the increased locomotion capabilities of wheel-walking to mitigate the risk of getting stuck in loose soil, to enable safe egress operations or to simply allow a faster or more efficient navigation by reducing the ground track to straight distance ratio.

### **Future Work**



- Following the results of these first experiments, Authors have decided to continue this research path and have planned further tests to get more experimental data and increase the confidence in the performance of wheel-walking.
- □ Future tests will focus on **gradeability analysis** to better assess the performance of different wheel-walking gaits in several types of soil.
- The next testing campaign is planned for March 2015 in the Robotics and Mechatronics Centre (RMC) of DLR Oberpfaenhofen.









THANK YOU!

<u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u> To all co-authors and TEC-MMA

<u>Note</u>: Come **see the walking rover** at the open lab tour this evening during the conference cocktail!



M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 18

### **BackUp Slides**



- 1. ES3 + gravel soil characteristics
- 2. Gait selection
- 3. Extra tests: step length variation
- 4. Extra tests: additional offset speed
- 5. Extra tests: backwards driving and CoM shifting.



# ES3 + gravel soil characteristics



- Short soil name:
- ES3-OMR with gravel
- Full description of this soil:

OMR DRY (from Sibelco Ltd, United Kingdom) 80% by mass, with uniformly admixed Hart-splitt gravel, 16% 0-4 mm, 18% 4-8 mm, 15 % 8-11 mm, 21% 11-16 mm, 30 % 16-22 mm (from KIBAG Zentrallabor, Switzerland).





### Gait selection



- The final wheel walking gait was selected by <u>criteria of best</u> <u>performance</u> during <u>the dry run day of tests</u>. The best performing gait has been used during the whole test campaign.
- After the planned test campaign, modifications over this gait were tested attempting to find the configuration parameter that could influence the performance of the overall test. Those are explained in "<u>extra tests</u>"



#### Step length variation



- The step length is the distance the wheel travels from the start at the back until fully extended at the front during one walking maneuver.
- The following step lengths where used during the tests.

Length	speed
1cm	0.328
2.5cm	0.294
5cm	0.383
7.5cm	0.344
10cm	0.44
12 cm	0.35



Conclusion:

NO conclusion can be drawn. No clear trend or relation.

M. Azkarate – TEC MMA 11 May 2015 – Slide 22

