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## Autonomous spacecraft proximity operations

PoliMi-DAER is developing dedicated algorithms for vision based autonomous spacecraft proximity operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target type</th>
<th>Relative navigation hardware (Chaser)</th>
<th>Relative navigation hardware (Target)</th>
<th>Possible mission scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actively cooperative</td>
<td>RF transmitting/receiving antennas</td>
<td>RF transmitting/receiving antennas</td>
<td>FF, OOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GNSS module, communication antenna</td>
<td>GNSS module, communication antenna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passively cooperative</td>
<td>Active/passive sensors</td>
<td>LEDs, CCRs</td>
<td>FF, OOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncooperative known</td>
<td>Active/passive sensors</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>FF, OOS, ADR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncooperative unknown</td>
<td>Active/passive sensors</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>ADR, comet/asteroid exploration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Courtesy NASA
Autonomous spacecraft proximity operations

**Passive vs. active Sensors** → Cameras are selected

**Advantages**
- Lower hardware complexity, cost and power consumption

**Drawbacks**
- More sensitive to variation in illumination and target reflectivity conditions, background noise

**Monocular vs. stereo** → Monocular systems are preferred

**Visual Odometry like routine with frame to 3D-Model matching**

**Filtering vs. non filtering** → Filtering is selected

**Advantages**
- Accuracy, velocities estimation, robustness against erroneous tracked motions

**Drawbacks**
- Higher computational burden and complexity of the system

**Robust filtering with separated motion and rotations**
**System Architecture**

- **Feature Detection**
- **Model Matching**
- **Motion Estimation**
- **Optimization**

Vision Based tracking

- $R$ and $t$ represent the Chaser-Target relative rotation and translation

- Incoming images from the monocamera are first processed by the **Vision Based tracking**, which gives a first estimation of the Chaser-Target relative position and orientation (pose), which is then used as first guess by the **filter** for the complete relative state estimation.
Vision Based tracking

Single grayscale images from the monocamera are processed:

- **Features detection**, salient features are extracted from the image with correspondent descriptors.
- **Matching**, detected features are matched to an on-board Target spacecraft 3D-model correlated with descriptors.
- **Relative pose** is estimated solving the **PnP problem** given the 2D to 3D set of correspondences previously built.
- **Motion only Bundle Adjustment** is run after each motion estimation step to optimize the retrieved pose reducing the **reprojection error**.
Vision Based Tracking - Features detection & matching

**ORB detector** is exploited for features extraction:
- Fast to compute.
- Invariance to viewpoint.
- Scale invariant.
- Robust to light changes.
- 300 features extracted to keep low computational burden.

**Hamming distance test ratio** used to robustly match extracted features with on-board target satellite **3D-model**

- **Hamming distance**: e.g. \( dH(D1, D2) = dH(101101,1001001) = 2 \) (\( D1,D2 \) descriptors)

- **Test ratio**: if \( \frac{dH_{11}}{dH_{12}} < 0.7 ÷ 0.8 \) then **Good Match**
  
  \( (dH_{11}, dH_{12} \) first and second minor distance)

**3D-Model** of the target is built on ground exploiting CAD models and multiple images to obtain a set of 3D sparse points with correlated descriptors.
Relative pose of the Target is retrieved with EPnP algorithm

- Non-iterative efficient solution to the PnP problem.
- Applicable for both planar and non-planar 3D point cloud configurations.
- Implemented within a RANSAC routine to be robust to the presence of outliers.

Motion only Bundle Adjustment is implemented as a hyper graph

- Fast non-linear least squares optimization technique adopted in Computer Vision.
- Only relative pose is optimized, 3D map is kept fixed.
- 2D features represent the measurements connecting the 3D points and the relative pose that instead are the vertices of the hyper graph.
Filtering Techniques

Vision Based tracking
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Filtering Techniques - Translation

- Dynamical Model: Yamanaka and Ankersen linearized state transition matrix for arbitrary elliptical orbits.\(^1\)

- Measurements: relative position available from the Pose Determination block

- \(H\infty\) Filter robust filtering technique. It minimizes the worst case estimation error.

\[
P_{K+1} = F_k P_k [I - \theta P_k + H_k^T R_k^{-1} H_k P_k]^{-1} F_k^T + Q_k
\]

\[
K_k = P_k [I - \theta P_k + H_k^T R_k^{-1} H_k P_k]^{-1} H_k^T R_k^{-1}
\]

Filtering Techniques - Rotation

- Second Order Filter on the SO(3) group developed by Zamani et al.\(^2\) for absolute attitude estimation.

- Measurements: relative rotation matrix available from the Pose Determination block – no relative angular velocity measurements.

\[
\dot{\hat{R}} = R(\omega(t)_x) \\
\dot{\hat{\omega}} = 0 + B\delta \\
\dot{\hat{R}} = \hat{R}_i \left( \hat{\omega}(t) + K_{11}r^R + K_{12}r^\omega \right)_x, \\
\dot{\hat{\omega}} = K_{21}r^R + K_{22}r^\omega, \\
\dot{\hat{K}}(t) = -\alpha K + AK + KA^T - KEK + GQ^{-1}G^T - WK - KW^T.
\]

Simulations

First simulations have been run on **synthetic image sequences** generated from scratch:

- General satellite **3D model** considered.
- Relative **trajectory imposed**.
- **Features** generated directly projecting 3D-model on image plane.

**Noise** is introduced in different ways to simulate as close as possible a real scenario:

- Non perfect absolute state determination of the chaser spacecraft.
- Uncertainty in 2D features location.
- Errors in the target spacecraft 3D model.

➢ Two simulation scenario are considered.
Simulations – Scenario A

- MEO orbit with $e=0.17$
- Relative initial position $\rho_0 = [50, 0, 0] \text{ m}$
- Relative initial velocity $\dot{\rho}_0 = [0, -0.1, 0] \frac{m}{s}$
- Relative initial angular velocity $\omega_0 = [1, 0.1, 0.3] \frac{\text{deg}}{s}$
- Frequency: 10Hz

- Absolute state error:
  - Position $\sigma = 10^{-2} \text{ km}$
  - Velocity $\sigma = 10^{-4} \frac{\text{km}}{s}$

- NPP Satellite Model
  - Feature Points Extraction Noise $\sigma_{pix} = 2$
Simulations - Translational results

- Estimated position error with Vision Based tracking routine is always below 2 m.
- Filtering improves accuracy reducing error below 0.3 m.
- Velocities are estimated with high accuracy.

Relative Position Error
\[ e_p = \sqrt{(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + (z_i - \hat{z}_i)^2} \]

Relative Velocity Error
\[ e_p = \sqrt{\dot{x}_i - \dot{\hat{x}}_i)^2 + (\dot{y}_i - \dot{\hat{y}}_i)^2 + (\dot{z}_i - \dot{\hat{z}}_i)^2} \]
Simulations - Rotational results

- Rotations are retrieved with errors around 0.4° by the Vision Based tracking.
- Rotation filter improves the estimation reducing error down to 0.05°
Simulations – Scenario B

- GEO orbit
- Relative initial position $\rho_0 = [0, 50, 0] \, m$

- Relative initial angular velocity $\omega_0 = [0.07, 0.1, 0.3] \frac{deg}{s}$
- Frequency: $1Hz$

- Additional Error to the target spacecraft 3D-Model added.
Simulations - Translational results

- The overall error is small in both cases.
- The estimation error of the relative position increases with the noise on the map. This is due to the resulting pixels and map combined noise.
- The lower frequency does not affect the estimates.
Simulations - Rotational results

- The estimation error of the relative rotation increases with the added noise on the map. This is due to the resulting pixels and map combined noise.
- Rotations are still retrieved with good accuracy even with the introduced map error.
Conclusions

A Vision-Based algorithm with robust filtering for state estimation of uncooperative objects is under development at PoliMi – DAER:

- Fast and accurate Vision Based tracking algorithm for spacecraft relative pose determination.
- Clear benefit of using a filter for translational & rotational dynamics.
- Tests campaign on simulated scenarios performed.
- The overall preliminary performance of the algorithm are satisfactory.
Future developments

• Extensive analysis with different simulations scenarios.

• Heavy development of a routine for the Target spacecraft 3D-Model on ground construction.

• Test the Vision-Based tracking algorithm with synthetic and real images.

• Experimental validation on test facility currently under setup at PoliMi DAER.
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